

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. -08/147,433 - 11/05/93 - KOIZUMI -203863900580 GUARRIXAMINER, J 15M1/0215 CUSHMAN, DARBY & CUSHMAN NINTH FLOOR 1100 NEW YORK AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3918 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1511

	DATE MAILED;	02/15/95
	Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application	02/13/93
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
ADVISORY ACTION		
₩ТН	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
a) 102/	is extended to run from the date of the final r	nication
ы П	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, where the mailing date of this Advisory Action, where the mailing date of the mai	•
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and a purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension f 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set for the corresponding to the correspondi	also the date for the ee pursuant to 37 CFR
□ 49	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Api to i	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 2004 , 30, 1995 has been considered with the following effe place the application in condition for allowards:	ect, but it is not deemed
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stand	ds because:
	 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary a presented. 	and was not earlier
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).	
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
•	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simple appeal.	olifying the issues for
	$\textbf{e.} \ \square \ \textbf{They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.}$	
	NOTE: Applicant's amendment to the specification, namely pp. Mand 20 raise the issue of new matter which he require further consideration, Newly amended claims a would require further search and further emside	11, 13, 14, 15,16 ould 22-24
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed the non-allowable claims.	amendment cancelling
3. 🗹	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the sta be as follows:	tus of the claims will
	Claims allowed:	
	Claims objected to:	
	Claims rejected:	
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection	n because
5. 🔲	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons w	vhy it was not earlier
	presented.	$n \cap 0$
☐ The p	proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	AICHL
	SUPERVISORY PATER ART UNIT	

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)